1895-6.
(L.R.)

File No. 80-95.
Serial No. 1-18.

Sub: Restoration of Dharmadaya Inam lands in Kogile Village, Yelahanka Hobali to Shaola-Gopaingar

(bc)

P.F 19-99

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

LR 8-64.

1895-6

~~191~~.

(LR)

File No. 80-95

Serial Nos. 1-18

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-18

~~Part~~ B for 1902

SUBJECT.

Restoration of Dharmadaya Inam Lands in Kogile Village, Yelahanka hobli to Shadagopachariyar.

3

In his petn no 3025
of 17/6/98 Salatsopiensis
represents that he presen
a petn in Feby 1897
& refers to lands in
Kopla Village & not to
those of Dzundandra
Village
Does the rep had not
been properly pursue
& I want now proper
rep.

23/8/98

Please put up the petn of Rep
referred to above and the connected
papers if any

24-8-98

Relin of Rep referred to
was not received. Please
see record keeper's note on
petn no 3025 of 17-6-98 above

24-8-98

4

Inform the Petrs
that his Petn of
Feb 4 1897 has not
been received in this

24/8/98

Dft Encl/ Intrap

24/8/98

In his petition dated 17.6.95 the petitioner represented that he had presented in February 1897 a petition regarding the levy of Survey assessment on his Inam in the Kogila village Bangalore taluk and Complained of its non-disposal.

As the Registers of this office showed that no such petition had been received the petitioner was informed accordingly.

(2). With his petition dated 8/5/99. The petitioner produced an alleged duplicate of his petition of 1897 and urged that his petition should be disposed of. He was thereupon asked to to adduce

pet'r's appeal may be
admitted

(4) As regards the merits, the
pet'r Complains that he
was not informed till now of the
resumption of the Inam in
question in 1879, that it is
inequitable to demand the
arrears for 17 years (from 1879-96)
and that the Inam was
not granted subject to
any Conditions.

The Inam Supt may be requested
to send a copy of the Inam
Register of enquiry and explain
why the fact of resumption
was not communicated either
to the revenue authorities or to
the pet'r for 17 years. The allegation
of the pet'r that the Inam is not-
a Shottram Inam is inconsistent
with another allegation in the same
petition that he applied for the
Concession shown as Shottram Inamdar's
under the Sett'l orders of 1892-93 (Sl No 182 in file)
in respect of this very Inam

16/8/98.

D

Notes continued from f. no 168 D 21.7.99 Sd?

V. D's note on 167

to adduce any evidence beha
of having presented a pet
in E-264 1897 and further D
explain the delay of 5 mont
in appearing, the annexure
notice to the petition being dated
12/8/96. In the pet now
under disposal, the petitione
states that, as he deposited
the pet of 1897 in the Pet
Box, he has no proof to
adduce & that his appeal
is not barred
(3) The D comr's order appeal
against is dated 11/12/96 &
the pet's appeal to Govt
would be in time if, as he
states, he had appealed in
E-264 1897. In a matter of
this kind, it is usual to
give the benefit of the doubt
to the pet and if this
view is accepted, the

pet[r]'s appeal in
admitted
(4) As regards the
pet[r] Complain
was not informe
presumption of the
question in 1879,
inequitable & de
arrears for 17 ye
and that the D
not granted ~~for~~ &
any conditions.

The Dist Supt
& send a copy of
Register of enquir
why the fail of
was not Comm
& the revenue a
the pet[r] for 17
of the pet[r] that th
a Chittram Dram
with another allega
petition that he
Concession shown
under the Sirl order
in respect of the ver

10

or propriety of the Settlement made by the Inam Deptt and that the arrears should be collected by Sale of the land; that the land was sold in 1898 but was bought in by Govt there being no bid; and that the Appeal is against that order.

18/6/01

19/6/01.

Was it — Do not the Inam rules require notice being given to the inamdar when passing orders of resumption? 1/7/1901

Please refer to para 8 & 19 of the "course of Procedure" These do not make it obligatory on the Inam Supt to notify the order of resumption to the Inamdar; but had para 19 been followed

(1)

ie Had the resumption
been Communicated to
the Dy Comr in time,
the resumption would by
the Dy Comr have been
Communicated to the Naiwan
Para 19 of the Rules of
Procedure was not followed
& hence the Landships
involved in this case, as
admitted by the Naiwan Supdt
in his letter of 9/3/07.
In the present case however,
notice was served on the Naiwan
(vide copy of Naiwan Dept Sheet No 138).
asking him to plant fresh
trees in lieu of the trees missing
& the notice was not heeded,
the Naiwan was resumed.

by 2/7/07

I think it rather too

(Note [illegible])

The Supdt of Inam Settlements, with his letter dated 9-3-01, had forwarded copies of the Settlement Registers of the Inam in question and reported that the order of resumption in 1879 should have been, but was not communicated to the Talukdar & the petitioner (till 1896); and that the resumption of the Inam by Colonel Cole was strictly according to Rules. From the Registers it is clear that the Inam land Thammadanga, were granted in 1869 by the chief court for the support of an avenue containing 553 trees planted by Vellical ranging on the Road leading from Bangalore to Madras; that this was reported by the Amildar in 1879 that only 442 trees were in healthy condition; that 1 tree and one warmont and that the defi remaining 109 of trees namely 111 were not planted by the Inamdar

through Sigmatta's lines was granted in 1876 and that no watchman had been employed to take care of the avenue.

The Inam was according resumed in 1879

The Collector in his letter No 1773 dated 8.6.01 reports that only on 17.2.96 a requisition was received in his office from the Survey Supdt to the effect that the Inam land had been resumed in 1879 its full assessment namely Rs 23-8-0 should be recovered from that year; that the peti was then called upon to pay Rs 195-8-0; that the peti contended that the order of 1879 had not been carried out till then; held that the Inam was personal but not one granted for planting avenue trees; that the Collector ordered thereafter that peti could not question the validity

14.

I/ no scope for planting the III tree found to be wanting he has planted a "Sound top" of about 6 or 7 feet on the land reserved and there is also adjoining a "sound tope" planted by his father on both sides of the Bellary road from the junction of the road near Devanhally with Arati village. Fruition he has not been granted any man.

Ito Jagannadha Rao
21/7/1901

ll
22/7/01

Docket on letter
22/7/01

Dft to DC
23/7 Dft to letter
24/7

The Inam Supdt in reply, reports (in letter No 11 dated 3-8-01) that in consideration of the terms of the chief commissioner's order, the Inam in question should.

should in his opinion
be treated as personal 15
and be continued on
the imposition of quit
rent as per Rules.

19-01

The acceptance of the Inam
Supt's recommendation
involves the cancellation
of the order resuming
the inam and the re-
grant of the lands as a
personal inam
& the case may be posted
for hearing

29/9/02

Post for next
hearing

17/10/02

18/10/02

Subd to 2nd Councillor
27.10.02

Subd to 2nd Cr
6/12/01.
Rec'd
6.12.1901

late to reopen the question of the validity of the claim after the lapse of time — though if the claim had been opposed & the claim settlement in time, he would probably have appealed. But it seems really hard that the Petr should be called upon to pay arrears ~~arrears~~ accrued before the communication of the order to him. It seems to be the least course to say that full assessment should be charged only since 1896 & if he pays up, the land may be restored on the same terms to the Petr.

submitted to the Vice Councillor.

6/7/1907

6/7/07
49(4)

Please direct the
attention of the
Supt of Census to
letter No 2348 date
Dec 26
August 1850 from
the Secretary & the
Commissioner of the State
of the Northern,
In which it is
expressly stated that
it Congress requests
& the Letter of him
as a special case
and in Consideration
of the long service
of Lieutenant Maury
inquire if in view
of this letter the Name
of the Navy Yard
not be changed into
one of Maury Navy.
The planting of trees
appears to be a Subsidiary
question Consideration
Per Who is present
says that this being

"on the Madras
"and Bellary roads,
"which he appears
"to have had au-
"-thority to under-
"-take. I do not
"think he has any
"claim for the other
"avenues which he
"planted without
"authority."

The following facts are culled out from the Division Dufter Record:-

1) An order dated 9th July 1851 was issued to the Amildar, Devan-halli, to allow Sing-iengar to plant on the Bellary Road. No written order seems to have been issued

for planting on the Magadi Road.

2) Singiengar planted 600 avenue trees on the Bellary Road also a tope composing of 166 trees and built a well at a cost of Rs 244-8-0 but the tope and well were not authorised by the Sirkar.

3) Statement shewing place and No. of trees planted:—

From what to what place	No of trees	Remarks
1. From the East to the 6th mile stone to the west to 9th mile Stone on the Madras Rd near Krishnarajpet	553.	planted according the orders of the Supt of 1850
2. From 24th mile Stone to Attutty village on Bellary Road near Devanhalli	600	do do
3. Tope & a well near 25th mile Stone on do	166	no orders for the
4. From Coachmoor Hullah & Hanuman Devastan on the Magadi road	291	do do

After obtaining the records from the Divn Supdt the Commissioner passed the following order:—

Memo.

No. 3607 dated 31st Dec. 1864.
Rev 54
Paper No 3.

On 31st December 1864 the Secretary to the Commissioner in Mysore forwarded to the Superintendent, Nandidroog Division for any observation, a petition from Singiengar late Amildar Bakshi soliciting grant of Banjar lands on Jodi tenure in consideration of his having planted trees on the Magadi, Bellary and Madras roads.

The Superintendent in reply, while submitting a Memorandum prepared

Genl No 3398 dt 21st March 1865
Rev No. 110

Paper No 4.

in the Division Office on the Subject, made the following observation :-

"In my letter, No. "1526/Rev 45 x dt 2d Sept 1864,

"I recommended a "grant of 12 Candies "of waste land on "account of the trees "planted by the Peti- "-tioner (Trichadenia) "on the ~~La~~ old Madras "Road which appli- "-cation was x not ac- "-ceded to vide your "letter No 1936/34 dt 9th Sept "1864.

x Paper No 1.

x the reason being that the application was made after the Palms were removed

x Paper No 2.

"As the Rev: Authori- "-ties were most prob- "ably responsible for the "delay in applying for "grants of land, Singapore "may I submit fairly "claim the usual grants "for the trees planted

"Consideration of the long service of
"Singiengar 12 Kandies
"of dry waste land may
"be granted to him on
"jodi tenure in the
"Village of Sigehulli
"of the Krishnaraj peer
"Taluk as proposed
"in Superintendent's
"letter No 1526/45 dated
"2d September 1864."

#01
17/12/07.

It is clear from the
enclosure to Supt's
letter 52/9/64 that
the application for the
grant of land was
made under the
Comm'rs Instrs of 1846
(now forming an appendix
to the L.R. Rules)

18/12/07.

LR 80-95-1

(2)

Per

11/11/95

Sr Dr Chamarajpet

18/11/95

Encl to Secretariat

Dept

29-11-95

Rec'd 29/11

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 3585
C. 2499
12 NOV. 95
DEWAN'S OFFICE

From

The Superintendent,
Mysore Revenue Survey.

To

The Revenue Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Dated Bangalore 1st November 95

Despatched 9th

Received

General No. 646
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Adverting to Government
(28)
proceedings (Secretariat) No. 16246-55
Rev. 295-92 dated
24th March 1893 I have the honor to
forward for sanction, a —
statement showing new lands
proposed to be allotted in lieu
of Inam lands resumed as
Circars under first settlement but
not now available for restoration

under

undertenured settlement in the Bangalore Taluk.

Supt. Mysore Revenue
Survey

Proceedings No 16246 - dated 24th March 1898 Showing new lands proposed to be allotted to the Inamdars of the Inam lands resumed under first settlement and not now available for restoration under revised settlement.

Land proposed to be substituted

Survey Number	Extent		Assessment		Remarks:-
10	11		12		13
7	11	30	9	..	Confirmed as Inam at the settlement of Inamdars. As there is no "Banjar" land available in the village to make up the difference very Inconsiderable Assessment of Rupees 2 is proposed to be given.
8	19	20	51	..	
9	54	30	20	..	
	96	6	63	..	
15 p	8	16	7	8	Survey No 15 Extent 9.30 Assessment 8.8 of which proposed 8.16 7.8 1.14 1.0 x x Remainder 1 gunt. Inamdar agrees to hold as Kharaw gunt.
23 p	23	2	20	..	Survey No 23 Extent 29.0 Assessment 25- of which proposed 23.2 20 5.38 5- x x Remainder 1 gunt to be levied from the Inamdar as Kharaw gunt.
64	27	33	23	..	64 27.33 23 65 21.26 18
65	21	26	18	..	
66 p	5	13	2	12	66 p 26.9 21 of which proposed 8.13 2-12- x 22.36 18-4-0 x Remainder 1 gunt should be folded
	52	32	43	12	..

As there is no Banjar land available in this village, each payment of Rupees 12 is proposed at the request of the Inamdars.

Dept. Collector Revenue Survey

Statement under Rule 2 of Government

Taluk	Village Number	Village	Pattadar	Land granted under first settlement and not now available.			Assessment			New Village
				Survey Number	Acres	Guntas				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8			9
Bangalore	1	Yelahanka	Vederpuram	Sri Channajeswara Mukha-Lakshmi near Yelahanka	3	15	..	13	..	..	
					12	29	21	30	..	..	Vadeapura
					19	26	10	26	..	..	Bangalore Taluk
					14P	27	35	21	12	..	
						98	29	90	12	..	
	20	2	Gantikempanahalli	The Manager of Topes near Hesaraghatta	2P	8	16	8	8	..	Agsalam Bangalore Taluk
	Hennaragatta	3	Byrasandra	The Manager of Topes	32	23	2	36	..	..	do
	Jyrakatta	4	Jyrakatta Bande Kaval	The Manager of Chittan	69	20	31	20	..	..	Jyrakatta Bande Kaval
					68P	31	35	37	12	..	
						52	32	57	12	..	
	Begur		Doddagur	Narangarangu	139	6	18	10	..	..	

Bangalore
November 1895

Bf
21/11/95

Draft for file

(4)

No 3911

Read:—

Docket No 6 dated

9th November 1932 from the

Superintendent, Revenue

Survey, Ahmednagar for sanction

as per Government Res:

No 1621-6-35 dated 24th August 193

27.295-92

a statement showing the

particulars of waste land pro-

-posed to be allotted, in

lieu of Inam lands resumed

under Govt. Settlement and

not now available for

restoration, in the Bangalore

Taluk.

LR 80-95-2

Orders thereon: As per Govt. Res. No 5730-32 dated 9.6.32 in G.D. 295-92 dated 5.7.32

Re allotment of waste

lands and the cash

of ready money, as

payment recommended

by

by the Superintendent, Revenue
Survey, ~~[illegible]~~ in the
appended Statement, are
sanctioned.

3/12/95
Resolved

18/11/95

11/12/95

To Superintendent (Rev.)
Survey.

Dy Accountant Bengal to return copy of the Statement
Comptroller. — 29/11 Ditto.

A No 178 of 95-96

189.6

Revenue Branch.

(5)

Please put up the letter of the Survey Supdt of 9-11-95 referred to by the Asst. Commr if received

8/2/96

Returns

No. 1722

Name of Petitioner, Khalil Khan Sh

Village,

Taluk, Bangalore

District,

Date of Arzi, 31-1-96

Date of Receipt, 31-1-96

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, 3

Ref 295 of 95-3
Letter from the Asst Commr
Bangalore dated 15th Decr
1895 (File Serial No 3 of 44 in the file)

9/2

15/2

Submitted with reference to Survey Supdt's letter No 646 dated 9-11-95 and enclosures No 5730-32 dated 3-12-95 (Serials No 43 and 44)

17/2/96

18/2/96

The lands referred to seem to be No. 2 in statement attached to No. 43 of this file. This was sanctioned by proceedings dt. 3.12.95. (Page 44)

Submitted to the 2nd Councillor for orders.

22/2/96

Asst Commr Bangalore

Returned with a little more information. Survey Supdt should communicate in connection with the new Rules. Copies of the Rules may be sent to him.

ABSTRACT.

Shall not certain extent of land granted to petitioner in the Jungle Mahal Bangalore at the assessment of Rupees per acre, which petitioner agreed to pay, and which petitioner has duly paid, be confirmed and granted to petitioner absolutely, subject to the usual conditions, or shall the petitioner be compelled to relinquish the land granted to him, and which petitioner has improved and brought under cultivation, on the ground that petitioner has not complied with the conditions of the grant, viz., that petitioner should plant trees on the land granted to him, and should keep the trees in a flourishing condition, and should not cut down any trees on the land granted to him, except with the permission of the Deputy Commissioner of the District?

Confirmed that he has not been paid the additional land to Ministry Kaitaia for, [illegible] and Rights of land.

Rev. Law,
Col. V.M.M.
31-1-96

my brother Mr Venkat Rangiengar
was serving as Amildar in the
Ashta garam division and myself
was in Service elsewhere. These
two survey numbers were taken up
by outsiders, and a few numbers
retained by our ryots on our behalf.
These only stand in my late brother's
name in the Digavasandra village.

(3) During the famine of 1876-77 the
ryots who were kept on our lands
near Kogile village either died or
deserted and even the portion of the
land allotted as Jodi near this village
is lying waste since since and we are
paying the Government Jodi from
our pockets.

(4) Under the Government Notification* and Proceedings no: 2872-81 ent 18
dated 19.5.92 & * no: 125 dated 10 June/95
(copies attached for ready reference) I moved
the Survey authorities to confirm to me
the whole of the extent of land as granted

to

tions by the Mysore Government - After
the lapse of so many years and
delayed correspondence between the
Taluk authorities and the Survey
Superintendent, I am informed
that the Survey Superintendent has
on the 9th November last recommended
to the Government to confirm as godi
the lands in our occupation and
the grant of Government waste
lands attached to Agrahara
village of the Yelahanka Hobly to
make up the 12 Kandies of the
original grant.

(5) If this is granted, the Government
will see that we have been given
lands in pieces in 3 different places,
causing inconvenience for cultivation
and supervision, and thus the object
of the grant entirely is frustrated -

I therefore respectfully beg to state
for the favourable consideration of the
Government that there are Government

waste



one two Rupees Stamp affixed

D 28
07

To The Revenue Secretary to
The Government of Mysore
Bangalore

Y 2722

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG. NO. 2722 OF
DATE 31-1-96

Most respected Sir,

My father late Bindlay Sungeeah planted avenues on the Bellary and Madras Roads & also round Topes. Under the rules then in force, an award of godi land for those planted on the Madras road was recommended - Chief Commissioner L. Bowring Esquire in his letter no: 2348/58 dated 6th September 65 (copy attached) to the Kandidroog Division Superintendent sanctioned the grant of 12 Kandies of dry waste land in consideration of the planting of these avenues and of my father's long and faithful services to the Government.

Accordingly 2 Kandies of land was allotted in the village of Digavasandra of the Krishnarajpur Hobly and 4 Kandies in the Kogile village of the Yelahanka Hobly of the Bangalore Taluk in the name of my deceased brother Venkat Rangiengar subject to the payment of 12 Pagodas or about 36 Rupees as Jodi per year. My father, at a large cost, constructed tanks, brought ryots to settle in them, and improved the lands besides digging wells and planting topes on them.

(2) But during the Revenue Survey Settlement, the rates of assessment having been raised, the Survey authorities confirmed lands bearing 72 Rupees assessment as Jodi and brought the remaining lands under Khalsa. By this time my father was dead,

waste and Gomal lands adjoining
our lands at Dyavasandra
belonging to the villages of Krishna
Rajpura Diyavasandra & Hadi.
The former two villages are on
the northern side of the Madras
Railway and the lands I now
apply for are on its southern side,
and thus not very useful to those
villages - These are now mostly
grazed by your ryots. Under these
circumstances, I respectfully beg
that your honor will be pleased
to order the lands now under our
occupation to be at once conferred
to me as Jodi and to grant the
required additional lands in
the limits of the Krishnarajpura,
Diyavasandra and Hadi villages
adjoining

adjoining our lands. The land now in our name at the Kogile village may be resumed by Government.

I beg to remain
Respected Sir,

Your most obedient & humble
servant,

Bangalore
31st Jany 96

Mysore Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 6 September 65

Genl Dept. No 2348
58

No 2

To

The Superintendent of the
Kandeenoog Division

Sir,

Adverting to the correspondence ending with your letter No 1376 dated the 16th Ultimo, I am directed by the Commissioner to state that as a special case and in consideration of the long service of Singiengar, 12 Kandies of dry waste land may be granted to him on godi tenure in the village of Segahally of the Kristnarajpore Talook as proposed in your letter No 1526 dated 2nd September 1854.

I have &c

(signed) J. A Campbell
Acting Secretary

Kandeenoog Dy Superintt Office
Bangalore 11th September 1865

Genl No 1700
340

Official Memorandum

(Copy of the foregoing is forwarded to the Deputy Superintendent Bangalore district for guidance.

(Signed) J.L. Pearse
Asst. Supdt

(Enclosure)

Notifications by the Government of H.H. the
Maharaja of Mysore.

General
Notifications
No. 2
Re 1st June 1891

No: 125. In supersession of the rules contained in the late Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No 471-267 dated 1st Nov. 1873 and No: 4831-R.316 dated 3rd October 1874 the following rules for the disposal of trees in Inams granted for the up-Keep of Chattrams, Musafirkhanas Topes and Avenue trees under the terms of the late Commissioner's Circular of the 22nd July 1866 are published for general information:—

1 Inams granted for the up-Keep of Chattrams, Musafirkhanas, Topes and Avenue trees under the terms of the late Commissioner's Circular of 22nd July/66 will be Confirmed in full where the boundary marks specified in the Sanads are clear, or where otherwise there is no doubt regarding the Correct

treated in all respects as such land

IV. The extent confirmed as above under these rules shall be entered in the Khatauni and village account as dry cropped land, whatever the description of the land may be from the point of the dam as water the produce of such work, be shall pay water rate at the prescribed rates

(Illegible)

correct position of the lands originally granted, the Inams will only be confirmed up to the area specified in the original Sanad and old accounts, plus 25 per cent, the surplus being brought under full assessment.

II. Where the area now actually held as Inam is less than the area specified in the original Sanad, the deficiency will not be made good.

III. Out of any individual survey number hitherto enjoyed as Inam under Rule I shall be treated as part land and charged with full assessment. When such excess does not exceed as 5 a a survey valuation, it shall be treated as part of the rent and the amount charged as such. When however such excess exceed as 5 a a survey valuation it shall be measured into a separate survey field & treated

Proceedings of the Government of H. H. the Maha
of Mysore, Revenue - dated 1st May 1892

read the following letter:-

From the Secretary Mysore Revenue Survey
to the Chief Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
No: 103 dated Bangalore, 4th May 1892.

I have the honor with reference to
Govt Notification No 125 dated 10 June 1891
laying down revised rules for the treat
=ment of excesses of Chatrams Devadhan
to solicit instructions as to how the cases
of those Inams which have already been
settled under the late Chief Commissio
order No 4831-316 dated 3rd October 1874
should be dealt with when the lands
brought to Khalsa or resumed
to Govt under that settlement have
been taken up by different ryots
on regular Kandayam -

2. I would ask whether such lands
the present enjoyment by the Inamdar
being insufficient to make up the
necessary extent under the late order
of 1891, are to have fresh lands

Substituted

R 80 9/5 = 5

43

General Serial No. 30.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
AUG 16 1898
OFFICE

1898.

Pettum

18/98 16/8/98

Reps filed up

From The Supdt Mysore Rev Survey

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore Rev & Rev Depart

Dated 13th August 1898

Despatched

Received

By Court reports (in
lrs dated 10th August 98
noted in the Marg) in reply
to Rnd Cro's query whether of
lds now applied for by
Pr could not be withdrawn
pending the Substitution
ions already sanctioned
by Govt Proc dated 3.12.95,
to those Ryots, who
voked by the Guildas
ch up the lands he
ed to have in lieu
e resumed lands, failed
o avail themselves
of the offer made for
them to be filed by the
letter dtd 22.3.97. Until
such reply has been given
query

to file

Hy 23/8/98

Enclosures No. 1 with the correspondence

General No. 128?

No.

In continuation of this Docket sp 86 of the 30th July 1898, has the honor to forward, for the information of Government, a copy of the Bangalore District Deputy Commr's Docket sp 198 of the 10th idem with reference to the Secretary's Official Memorandum sp Rt 1613 and subsequent reminder.

Hon sp

114

Ltr. Sat of the 3rd March 1896 and
76
24th July 1896 respectively.

2 The entire correspondence bearing on the subject is herewith returned.

A Smith
Supdt Clyde River
Survey

submitted for three or what course is to be followed. Another alternative would be to make up the necessary compliment out of the Grantee's own forth holdings should he have any.

No 2872.81 Civ 28 dated Bangalore 19th May 1892.

order thereon:- The excess area to which the Grantees whose Grants have already been settled under the orders of 1874 may be entitled under the new rules of 1891, must be made good by the grant of fresh lands. Where this arrangement is not possible, the cost must be reported for the orders of Govt who will consider whether a money grant-cannot be made in lieu.

The Pattas already granted may be cancelled if the Pattadars agree.

(Signed) T. Anandarao
Chief Secretary

(True copy)

Pet 1613 Encl. to

Draft 6-3-96

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition (to be returned)
Registered No. 2722 dated 31-1-96
received at the Dewan's Office from S Sa-
-Kopaiengar, Bangalore, prays
that certain lands at Hyanee Sang
in his occupation may be confir
to him as godi and waste
adjoining them in the village
Krishnarajpur, Hyanee Gunnoora
Hobli may be granted to him
make up the full extent of god
in lieu of lands attached to
village proposed to be given

is forwarded, together with its ~~three~~ Superintendent's
enclosures, to the ~~Deputy~~ Commissioner
of the ~~the~~ Revenue Survey in District. He
~~for disposal~~ with a request that
he will be so good as to report
in communication with the
Deputy Commissioner, if the land
now applied for by the petr. could
without inconvenience be substi-
-stituted for those already sanctioned

By order,

Draft

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,
3 - 3 - 1896
6.

2.3.96

M.G.C.P.E.—25-1-95—500

Docket No 196 from the Deputy
Commissioner to the Survey Sett.
in Mysore.

His endorsement No 923 of the
12th March 1896, engrossed in
the Secretary's No Pet
16:3 of the 3rd
March 1896 and his reminder
Docket No 87 of the 30th July 1898.

In the first of the above 2
references, opinion was asked
about the application of the
Shivagothaiengar for the grant
in one place of lands in lieu
of those given at different places
on account of certain roadside
avenue trees having been planted
Thereon the Amildar was asked
to ascertain and report if there
was

was any objection to the exchange prayed for. The Amildar asked the applicant to point out the lands wanted by him, so that he might find out if there was any objection to their grant; but the applicant failed to do so. The Amildar reported the failure, whereon the then Dy. Comm. Mr. V. N. Narasimiengar, ordered that the papers might be filed and they were accordingly filed.

2. The endorsement, referred to and the Vernacular correspondence ending with this District Office No. 1547 of the 19th March 1897 to the Bangalore Amildar are herewith forwarded—

"Capt. D"
Supdt. Cubbon Gardens"

for S. A. C. Assistant Secretary
Dy Comm. Bangalore

10.5.99 LR 80-95-6

3025 of 99 Reminder to Collr

Mysk

wts Rt 295 of 99 containing
Maps relating to the Petn is
first opn

AKr

11/5/99

Srbd

1/2 Rs stamps affixed. Stamps cancelled

2/ This petn purports to be a copy of an appeal petn alleged to have been submitted to Govt in February 1897 with the orders appealed against in original and which has not been received here. Petr has now submitted copies of the said orders (2 entries from the Annual) which are not authenticated.

5.99

Ask Petr

1. to produce any evidence he can, of his having presented an appeal petn in Feb 1897.

2) to explain the delay of about 2 months, the DC Cauvery order having been communicated to him by the Asst on 12.9.96.

3) to produce authenticated copies of the Asst's orders which those produced here unauthenticated

BR
13/5/99

Abstr petn
& Recd
CL
15/5/99

1899

No. 1770

Name of Petitioner Sabbaraya Pillay

Village Sirkali Patta

Taluk Bangalore City

District

Date of Arzi 8-5-99

Date of Receipt 8-5-99

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 4

ABSTRACT

With reference to Govt Endt No. 3025 of 24-8-98 he submits a copy of his application to Govt made in the month of February 97 wherein he stated that, by Commissioners No 2345 Rev 58 dated 6-6-1868 his father Sabbaraya Pillay was granted Inam of 12 Cawnies of dry land, 8 Cawnies of which is in Devasandra village & the remaining 4 Cawnies is in Singhila village; that though there are well marked boundaries for these Cawnies a portion of them was resumed during the Survey settlement

of the above villages; that on applying to Govt, the mistake was rectified by Pro: No. 5730-2 / R.T. 295-92 dt 3-12-95, in the Devanahalli taluq; that when he applied for a similar correction in the Kaghida village, he was served with a notice from the Amildar, Bangalore N: in the year 1896, that he should pay Rs 195-8-a to Govt being the difference between the Survey assessment of the land which he was all along enjoying as Jodi & the amount of Jodi paid by him for the last 17 years, as the said land was resumed by the Inam dept in the year 1879, which order was informed to the Revenue Authorities in the year 1896, as seen from the Amildar's notice dt 7-8-96; that for the last 17 years this order was lying unknown to him & that the Commrs No. 12348 / Rev. 58 dt 6-9-65 was ignored by the Inam dept.

Prays that the above Inam lands may be given back to him & the amount of Rs 195-8-a be remitted.

Enc. 1-2 Notice of the Amildar dt 7-8-96 & 12-9-96.
3. Copy of Commrs Notice No. 12348 / Rev. 58 dt 6-9-65.
4. Letter to the Secy: Govt & Rev. Dept.



Two Rs stamps affixed - Mly

To,

The Secretary to Govern-
-ment of Mysore



Respected Sir,

I beg to Submit the follow-
-ing for favour of your obtaining
early and favourable orders of the
Government.

My late father Bakshy
Singeingar ab is well known to Govern-
-ment planted avenues and raised
Topes in many places - As a reward for
his long Service in this Government, they
granted to him as a special case an Inam
of 12 Candies of dry land in 1869 as per
Commissioner's No 4C 2348 dated 6th Sep-
-tember 1865 to the address of the Superintend-
-ent Nandidroog Division.
Rev. 58

This Inam was granted on Jodi
Tenure in two villages; 8 Candies in Dena-
-Sandra village and 4 candies in Koghila
village - Although these Lands have had

clear

[illegible] certain portion of the said [illegible] Inam Land has been reserved at the adjustment during the Settlement of these Villages by the Survey Department.

Subsequently, in Government Resolution order No 125 of 10th June 1891, I forwarded my case to the Government, wherein I prayed to grant me redress so far as the Devanadra village is concerned, vide their Proc: No 5130-2 dated 31 Dec 1895. R.F. 295-92

I made a further representation in the matter of the resumed Inam in the Koghila village and to my Surprise Sam, for the first time, served with a notice by the Collector of the Bangalore Taluk in Sept last directing me to remit good to Government the aggregate sum of Rs 195-8 a being the difference between the Survey assessment on the land, all along been enjoyed as Jodi Inam and the Jodi Phane continually been paying thereon, since the Survey Settlement, Period of 17 years; the reason for such a Resumption being the resumption of the Inam in full by order of the Inam Department in 1879, which order of resumption has for the first time been conveyed to the Revenue authorities in February, 1896. Through the Superintendent Revenue Survey, as Conduct

to

be observed from the Amildar's notice dated 7th August 1896.

This order of resumption has, for the last 17 years, been unknown to me and to Executive Revenue Officers as well.

The Government order for the grant of the Jodi runs thus:- "I am directed by the Commissioner to state that as a special case and in consideration of the long Service of Sinja Iyengar, 12 Candies of dry wasteland may be granted to him on Jodi Tenure in the village of Seegahalli of the Krishna Rajpur Taluk as proposed in your letter No 1526/45 dated 2nd September 1854."

This Inam is not therefore for rendering any Service. The Inam Superintendent may not have had an occasion to peruse this communication at the time of his settlement of these Inams and hence all the present inconveniences and irregularities.

Under the above circumstances I respectfully solicit the favour of the Government to order the Inam Department to revise this matter and decide the case in conformity with the Commissioner's letter No 4/2348/Rev 58 dated 6th September 1865 noted above.

In the matter of the Amildar's demand to me to make good Rs 195-8-a (the difference for 17 years past) I leave to the Government to consider into the propriety of the present demanding. I here take the liberty to mention that my late father spent a heavy

Sum

[illegible] to it as a Palayam which was declared during the fasli of Diat [illegible] and alteration of the land [illegible] ied Raja's Demand [illegible] to pay the jodi [illegible] a [illegible] jouring [illegible] Inam.

[illegible] the [illegible] that the Government may be pleased to confirm the Inam in con-formity with the Commissioner's Order No. 2048 dated 5th Sept. 1865 and to [illegible] of the amount of Rs. 175 8 0 from the account the same having been kept in [illegible] for long period of 17 years is doubtful to [illegible] good after such lapse of time as [illegible] burden on one.

List of original papers filed herewith.

1. [illegible] Notice dated 7th April 1896
2. [illegible] do 10th Sept. [illegible]

[illegible]

Dewan [illegible]

DEWAN [illegible]
MAY 18 [illegible]

[illegible] 1770 [illegible] 4

[illegible Telugu text] 12-4-1896 [illegible Telugu text]

By order
[illegible signature]
for Secretary

LR 80-95-7

(12)

1897

No. 168

Name of Petitioner: Shaiakopa Iyengar

Village: Siddikutta

Taluk: Bangalore

District

Date of Arzi: 21-7-99

Date of Receipt: 21-7-99

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure: Five.

ABSTRACT

With reference to Govt. Endt. No. 1770 of 15-5-99, he has produced the Dy. Commr's order of 1-12-96 directing the petr. to get his matter settled by applying to proper authorities & states that the suit is not barred by limitation as he applied in the year 97 alone for which he can give no evidence as he put the petn. in the box; that he sub[illegible] a duplicate [illegible]

26-7-99

1/7 [illegible] R.T. 295 [illegible]

27-7-99. R.T. 295 [illegible] containing [illegible] relating to the G.O. [illegible] up.

28/7/99

Subd. (with [illegible] in petn. 1770 & 3125) [illegible]

29.7.99 Return the Vernacular Cor. re C[illegible] with our Dept. No. 128 of 13/8/98 & then send this DMe

3/7/98

Subd. to Rev. Cr. Edn. with a note to the pet. 16/8/98.

[illegible] 28

Admit the appeal [illegible] about [illegible] & [illegible]

4.1.01

Ro 78 [illegible] 11-5-[illegible]

[illegible] 16.1.01

[illegible] 15/1/01

2.

Order

The inam having been settled by the Inam Department as Dharmadâya, it is not competent for the Deputy Commissioner to question its validity or propriety.

2. The Jodidar may appeal if he likes to the proper authorities against the resumption.

3. The requisition of the Inam Settlement Department to collect the arrears of the assessment from date of resumption

(2) should be complied with. If the Jodida is dissatisfied with the resumption, it is his business to get it cancelled by the proper authority.

4. From the resumed land in Kogile Village, he wants the tope and well to be separated by subdivision and this portion alone to be separately assessed and confirmed to him. This should be done by means of the Taluq Measures.

11.12.96. (sd.) V.K. Ramaswamah Iyengar.

True Copy

Date of application 17.6.99 Amildar.
Date of preparation 20-6-99
Date of delivery. 27.6.99.

B. Sankara Rau

The present (stamped) petn being in triplicate; that according to the Govt. order, the land containing the topes, planted by his ancestors, has not been granted as yet; prays that the request made in his previous petn may be granted to him.

Enc. 1. order appealed against which is in English.
2-3. His previous petn No. 1770 & its enclosures.

Sm
5/7/99.

In his petn S 17/6/98, the petr represented that he had presented in Feb 1897 a petition regarding the levy of Survey assessment on his the Kopila Village, Bangalore Taluk and complained of its non-disposal by the Registers of the Office stated that no such petn had been received, the petn was informed accordingly

(2) With his petn S 8/5/99, the petr enclosed an alleged duplicate of his petn of 1897 & urged that his petn may be disposed of on the

Enquiry as to

MYSORE DISTRICT
PETITION DEPARTMENT
RECEIVED
DATE 21-7-99

Hon'ble Human Rights Commission
Bangalore
21/7/99

From

To

Sir,

I am a victim of police atrocities. I am a poor man. I am a daily wage earner. I am working in a private company. I am getting Rs. 1000/- per month. I am supporting my family. I have two children. My wife is also working in a private company. She is getting Rs. 1000/- per month. We are living in a rented house. We are paying Rs. 500/- per month as rent. We are also paying Rs. 200/- per month as electricity bill. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as water bill. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as gas bill. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as telephone bill. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as insurance premium. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as savings. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as miscellaneous expenses. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as donation. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as tax. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as interest. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as penalty. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as fine. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as bribe. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as commission. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as brokerage. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as agency fee. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as consultancy fee. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as legal fee. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as court fee. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as stamp duty. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as registration fee. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as transfer fee. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as mutation fee. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as survey fee. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as boundary fee. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as encroachment fee. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as demolition fee. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as reconstruction fee. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as repair fee. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as maintenance fee. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as insurance premium. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as savings. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as miscellaneous expenses. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as donation. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as tax. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as interest. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as penalty. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as fine. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as bribe. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as commission. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as brokerage. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as agency fee. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as consultancy fee. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as legal fee. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as court fee. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as stamp duty. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as registration fee. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as transfer fee. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as mutation fee. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as survey fee. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as boundary fee. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as encroachment fee. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as demolition fee. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as reconstruction fee. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as repair fee. We are also paying Rs. 100/- per month as maintenance fee.

Yours faithfully,

21-7-99

Statement under para 2 of Govt Pro. No 6246-55 dated 24th March 1893 shg new lands proposed to be allotted for the main lands resumed under first settlement and not now available for restoration under revised settlement.

Taluk	Pagani	Number	Village	Pattadar	Land resumed under first settlement and not now available			New land proposed to be substituted				Remark
					Survey number	Extent	Assessment	Village	Survey number	Extent	Assessment	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
alone	Yelhanka	1	Vedderahalli	Sri Nanjaraja Urs of Bangalore Palace	3	15 .	13 . .	Vaderpura	7	11 30	9 . .	Confirmed as main at the request of inamdar as there is no "Bayar" lands available in the village to make up the difference viz 2 acres and 23 guntas, cash payment of Rs 26 proposed to be given.
					12	29 24	30 . .	Bayalure	8	49 20	. .	
					19	26 10	26 . .	Taluk	9	34	. .	
					14 P.	27 35	21 12 .					
						98 29	90 12 .			96 6	63 . .	
										552		
										4-1-96		
	Do	2	Vedderahalli	Sri Nanjaraja Urs of Bangalore	21 P.	8 16	8 8 .	Agrahara Bayalure	15 P.	8 16	7 8 .	Survey No 15- Extent 9-30 Assessment 8-8- Of which proposed 8-16- 7-8-

Bang[illegible]

Begur	Kistnaithapura	Krishnaiapura	
	4.	3	
Do Zogur	Jaraka Band Kavel	Dyavasandra	Gastik[illegible]
Naarayana-ra	The manapps of Chattram	The manapps of Tope.	The man[illegible] near Al[illegible]
139	69 / 68 2	32	
6	52 / 2 / 31	23	
18	32 / 38	2	
10	57 / 37	36	
·	12 / 12	·	
·	· / ·	·	
	Band Kavel	Do	Taluk
	65 / 66 2	23 2	
	52 / 8 / 2[illegible] / 7	23	
	32 / 13 / 26	2	
	43 / 2 / 18 / 28	20	
	12 / 12 / —	·	
	· / · / —	·	
There is no Banjar lands available in this village. Cash payment of Rupees 10 is proposed to the Mandar.	65 21-26 18— ; 66 2 26-9 21— ; of which proposed 3-13 2-12-0 ; 22-36 18[illegible] ; X. Remainder Gov't should be [illegible]	Survey No. Extent Assessment ; 23 29-0 25-0 ; of which proposed 23-2 20-0 ; 5-38 5-0 X ; X. Remainder Gov't to be levied from the Mandar as [illegible]	1-14 1— ; X Remainder Gov't Mandar agreed to be paid as [illegible] Jodi

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition No. ~~dated~~ received at the Dewan's Office from Satakopa Iyengar resident in Siddikatte, Bangalore city against order of the Deputy Commissioner in the matter of resumption in 1897 of the petitioner's inam in Kogil village

are ~~is~~ forwarded, together with ~~its~~ their five enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case, together with a full report of the facts of the case, and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petitioner with ~~his~~ enclosures should be returned.

By order,

Secretary.

BANGALORE,

15-1-01

P

169

From

The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Rev. & General Depts

To

Supdt. of Rev Survey in Mysore
Bangalore

LR 80-95-8

Dated 2nd

Despatched 1st August 1899.

Received

General No. 1132
Sec. No. 13-99

Enclosures No.

Has the honor to ~~forward~~ return herewith The records received with his letter no 128 of 13.8.99

By order

for Secretary

bg

Let with a draft remain
for the report due
with Dy Comr called
in 18-1-01
Ans.
3-01

Dy. Comr.

E-91

6004 1900-1901
Rd 351 189

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore, General
and Revenue Departments

Dated 6 3/01
Despatched 6 3/01 March 1901
Received
No. 63 of 1900-1901

Enclosure No. Two.

Submits a report on the
Complaints vide 20 in Cauvery
regarding the resumption of
Inams in the Kogilu Village
Bangalore Taluk.

R1
11.5.01
Ro 12-3-01

DZ 190/99 contains
copy is put up

All
12/3/01

contains
copy

65

No 63
2

Inam Superintendent's off
Bangalore dated 9th March

To,

The Secretary to the Government
Mysore, General and Revenue d
- m

Sir,

In reply to your letter No Petn 319-99
18th January last, (addressed to the Survey Su
-tendent,) I have the honor to report as foll
on the complaint of Satagopa Iyengar rega
the resumption of his Inam in the kogilu va
Bangalore Taluk.

1. It is undoubtedly the case that the order
resumption in 1879 should have been, but
not, communicated to the Taluk or to the p
2. The resumption of the Inam by Colonel C
was strictly according to Rules.
3. As regards the record of this case, copies
Settlement Registers are herewith submitte

I have the honor to

Sir

Your most obedient ser

Dfc

Supt of Inam

65

No 63
2

Inam Superintendent's off
Bangalore dated 9th March

To,

The Secretary to the Government
Mysore, General and Revenue d
- m

Sir,

In reply to your letter No Petn 319-99
18th January last, (addressed to the Survey Su
-tendent,) I have the honor to report as foll
on the complaint of Satagopa Iyengar rega
the resumption of his Inam in the kogilu va
Bangalore Taluk.

1. It is undoubtedly the case that the order
resumption in 1879 should have been, but
not, communicated to the Taluk or to the p
2. The resumption of the Inam by Colonel C
was strictly according to Rules.
3. As regards the record of this case, copies
Settlement Registers are herewith submitte

I have the honor to

Sir

Your most obedient ser

Dfc

Supt of Inam

(12) Two ~~three~~ dupts

To..

The Superintendent
of Rev. ~~Survey~~ in
Negapte.

Sir,

I am forwarding here-
-with a copy of the appeal
petn no 1770 dated 8.5.99
presented ~~by~~ Salakapa Tigu
-gan, in re the resumption
of his Inam in the Kagile
village in the year 1879,
I am directed to request
you to be regarded as to
favor Govt with a report
on the facts of the case and
the points urged in the
petition, together with the
Settlement papers relating
~~to the~~ Inam in question

I have &c.

Pet
07-19-99 18/1/01

(P94)

LR 80-95-10

C1
4-1-01

for Secretary

18/1/01

Despd 18/1/01

in the year 1879, its full assessment, namely Rs 23-8-0, should be recovered from that year (i.e. 1879). Accordingly, the petitioner was called upon to pay up the full assessment on it aggre-gating in all Rs 195-8-0. He a reply stated, that he could not be held responsible for the amoun as the order of resumption passed in 1879 was not carried out till 1896. He added that, since its grant the land lay fallow, as it was unfit for culti-vation. He further contended that, as the land was a personal inam, but not one granted for planting avenue trees, &c. it should not have been resumed. Thereupon, Mr. V. R. Narasimha Iyengar, the then Deputy Commissioner, ordered that the petitioner was not competent to question the validity or the propriety of the settlement made by the Inam Department, and that in acc-dance

with it, the assessment on the land should be collected by selling the land. It was accordingly sold on the 12th April 1898; but there were no bids, and so it was bought in by Government.

3. It is against the above order the present appeal is preferred.

4 The enclosures received with the memo under reply and the Divisional officer's Yadi No. 1066 dated the 15th April 1901 are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

(14)

(General Serial No. 30.)

844 Pol 33

1901

From Inspector General
Bangalore District

To Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
Revenue Department

Dated 8th June

Despatched to

Received

General No. } 1901.
D No. 1773

Enclosures Number. 2 folios.

Subject Report on the
petitioning of Sadagopa
Iyengar & Srinivasa
Iyengar of Bangalore City regarding
the removal of
his Inam land in the
Koshie Village, Bangalore
Taluk

Submitted with note
& enclosures attached to
the abstract of the
petitions &c &c 1901.
18/6/01 14/6/01

RD
6/7/01
49(L)

Pol. M
12.6.01
Rec'd
14/6.

L.R. 80-95-12

Letter No. 1773-95-12 dated 7-6-95

Returning the petitioning Sadagopa Iyengar & Srinivasa Iyengar of Bangalore City regarding the removal of his Inam land in the Koshie Village, Bangalore Taluk

@ 7 1901 99 containing
Depy is returned
All
15-6-01

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 8 June 1901.

To.

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Rev: & Gen: Depttt:

Sir

With reference to your official
memo No. Revn
F. 19-99 dated the 18th January
1901, forwarding for report, the petition
of Shaik Gafar Sheriff residing in Siddi
-pattu of the Bangalore city regarding the
resumption of his Inam land in the
Kogile village of the Bangalore Taluk,
I have the honor to state as follows:-

2. On the 17th February 1899 a re-
-presentation was received in this office from
the Superintendent of the Revenue Survey to the
effect that the petitioner's Inam land in the
Kogile village of the Bangalore Taluk,
bearing Survey No. 84 and measuring
22 acres and 5 Guntas, had been attached
and resumed by the Inam Department

Rev. Bon

Please put up P.L. 19799

which was put up for

P.L. No. 207 of 10-7-01

R133

from Dy. Comr. Bangalore, letter

No. 62 + Sent to Pet

Branch on 3-8-01

01

Pet Branch

slip

Y/S Counter

with office note

dated

1901.

9/9/01

R 80-95-14

72 (16) 1901-902

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDENT OF
INAM SETTLEMENTS IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

To 1675 5-8-01.

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
Pet 58 · GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated 3rd
Despatched do
Received

August 1901

No. 11 of 1901—902

Enclosure No. 2 Paper file

With reference to his letter No. 207 P. 719-9. dated 29th ultimo, reports his opinion regarding the complaint of Sabagopiengar Swamdar of Kogilu village Bangalore Taluk.

Note
5.8.01

73

No 11
Encl 2 Letters file

Inam Superintendent
Bangalore dated 3d

To,

The Secretary to the Govern
Mysore, General and Reve
Depart

Sir,

In reply to your letter No 20
p. 71
29th ultimo, I have the honor to state that in
consideration of the terms of the Chief Co
ners order the Inam in question should, i
opinion, be treated as personal and be
continued on the imposition of Quit-R
as per Rules.

2. The enclosures of the letter under re
are herewith returned.

I have the honor to
Sir
Your most obedient se

78

Supt of Ina

Encl to file No, received
Revt on 3-8-94-95

(15)

No 207 / 2348-99 } 2.2.01. 2nd S

From

The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore
Rev & Rent Depts

To

The Inspt of Inam settlement
in Mysore.

SR 80-95-13

Sir,

Adverting to your letter
No 63/2 of 9.3.01 reporting on
the petn: of Balakopa Lengan
regarding the resumption of
his Inam ~~will~~ in the Kolgiln
village, Bangalore Talukā,
I have the honour to invite
your attention to × letter No
2348/Rev 58 of 6th Sept 1895 from the
Secretary to the Commissioner to
the Inspdt: of the Nandidroog
Distt: wherein it is expressly
stated that 12 candies are
granted to the father of the

Petr

× Copy of which is herewith
forwarded.

petr: "as a special case and in consideration of the long service of Singiengar" and to inquire, if in view of this letter the nature of the Inam should not be changed into one of personal Inam.

2. The planting of trees appears to be a subsidiary Consideration. Petitioner, ~~who is present~~, says that there being no scope for planting the 111 trees found wanting to the he has planted a "good tope" of about 60 or 70 trees on the land resumed and there is also avenue of "good tope" planted by his father on both sides of the Bellary road from the junction of the roads near Devanahally up to Avati village for which he has not been granted any Inam.

3. The ~~Kam~~ records received with the Collr's letter 2nd 1773 of 8-6-01 are herewith ~~forwarded~~ sent for reference & obliging return.

Dfd 2/7/01

Head Asst. Collr

for Secy:

2-7-01

Register Sheet No. 133
Taluk Bangalore
Hobli Yelahanka
Village Kogilu.

76

nmat of Yalahanka in the Taluk of Bangalore

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment.	Survey assessment.	Description of Inam.	
ere no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ots to the inamdar, or the average assessment similar Government land, should be entered in olumn 7.		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buil lings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up. Hereditary or for life.	Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit rent, *i. e.* jodi, &c., Amount of latter to be entered.
6	7	8	9
' erig 7 V. R. A. P. .o-o 23-4-4.		For the Support of avenue trees 553 Commencing from the 6th mile stone of the Road - leading from Bangalore to Madras There are only 443 trees of which one is worn out Vide Amildars Report No: 2114 dated 12/17 March 1876.	Jodi as per Khelawar Patrike of 1875-76. Progressive jodi Rs 2-5-3 Permanent jodi to be collected from 1878. Rs- Ans- Pis 11-10-2

Copy of the **Register of Inams in the Village of** Kozilu **in the**

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM.

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village.	General class to which the Inam belongs.	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden.	Extent. Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, goontas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column.	Extent. Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals.
1	2	3	4	5
8	Dharmadaya	Dry Survey Nos: 82 84	As per Bangalore Deputy Commissioner's order No 219 dated 16th February 1869 K. K. P. 4 — " "	

Reinam Regn Dept.

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM.

By whom granted and in what year.	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11
Granted by Chief Commissioner	None.

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee.	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810.	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810.
12	13	14

S. B: Venkatarangaru

of the Nandidroog

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS.

Particulars regarding present owner. If the Inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns.

Residence, name, and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders.	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent.	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year.
15	16	17	18
Bangalore S. B. Venkata Rangiengar 48	Same as in Column 12		

82

Copy of the **Register of Inams in the Village of** Eyarasandra in t

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM.

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village.	General class to which the Inam belongs.	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden.	Extent. Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, goontas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column.	Extent. Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals.
1	2	3	4	5
(Title Deed No: 1524)			As per Bangalore Deputy Commissioners order No: 217 dated 16th February 1869.	
1	Dharmadaya	Dry Nos: 32 33 34 and 35	K. K. P. 8-0-0	"

Title-deed No: 8749.
Date of Preparation 1.10.76
Date of issue to Taluk.

Division.

LENGTH OF POSSESSION.

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner.
19	20

Dakhale case B to asali case A No: 4 of Dyavasandra Village.

Register Sheet No: 138 —

1. Vide asali Dharmadaya Jari Inam.

2 Confirmed on the Jodi of Rs. 12 on the progressive rate as per column No: 9 and on the condition noted in column 20 of the Register of the asali case.

(Signed) Robt Cole
Superintendent of Inam Enqs

31/7/76.

3. See further particulars in the asali.

4. Vide asali case in which the Amils' report on the State of the Inams is noted. 14-278.

4. Vide orders on asali case. This portion of the Inam is resumed.

(Signed) Robt Cole.
Supt of Inam Enqs

3.7.79

'Copy'

Inam Superintendent's office
Fort Bangalore 2nd March 80

Supt of Inam Enqs

Summai of Krishnarajapura in the Taluk of Bangalore

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment.	Survey assessment.	Description of Inam.	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7.		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up. Hereditary or for life.	Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit rent, *i. e.* jodi, &c., Amount of latter to be entered.
6	7	8	9
Beriz P. F. Rs. As. Ps. 16-0-0	46-8-12.	For the support of the avenue trees (1853) commencing from 6 M. to 9 M. mile stones of the Road leading from Bangalore to Kadrapa — of these 443 trees are said to be in existence and one of them is worn out. The remaining 442 are said to be in good order Vide Amils' Report No. 2114 Dated 12th March 1876.	Jodi as per Survey Sagwali Patrike etc of Present collection at progressive jodi Rs 4-10-6 Permanent Jodi to be collected from 1878. Rs 23-4-8.

1 Bangalore — 85

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee.	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810.	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810.
12	13	14

Venkatarangiengars

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM.

By whom granted and in what year.	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11
Granted by the Chief Commissioner in 1869.	

86

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS.

Particulars regarding present owner. If the Inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns.

Residence, name, and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders.	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent.	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year.
15	16	17	18
Bangalore S. B. Venkata — rangiengar 48 Vide column 8.	Same as in column 12	"	"

Division.

LENGTH OF POSSESSION.

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	~~Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner.~~
19	~~20~~

Grant

As per Bangalore Deputy Commissioners order No. 217 of 16th February 869 ~

Vilvelatarangiengar.

Total Inam ——— K. K. F. 12-0-0 24-0-0 69-13-2 Dedi Rs-4-11 34-14-4

Particulars

Village	Description of land	Extent	Assessment	Remarks
		K. e. r	Rs. a. p	
A. Gyavandra	Dry	8-0-0	46-8-10	23-4-5 ; 135 22 6
B. Kogilu	Dry	4-0-0	23-4-4	11-10-2 ; 133 22 5
Total		12-0-0	69-13-2	34-14-7

Asali —

Vide Channadaya

This grant was made for the support of an Avenue containing 883 trees planted by Vilvelatarangiengar on the Road leading from Bangalore to Madras — From the Amildars Report it is seen appear that there are only 840 trees of which one is worn out. There is a deficiency of 43 trees for which the Amildar states

1. Resume the Lease in Vathali

B. on account of the default on the No.

of Trees.

'Signed' Robt. Cole

31/7/79

Supdt. of Lease Lands

'Copy'

'Compared'

Lease Superintendents

Office Salem Bangalore

9th March 1901

Superintendent of Leases

Settlements

Column 2c continued

lent a notice to that effect is to be served on the Amildar.

Initd Robt Cole
8.7.78 Supvr of Avenue Trees

Notice No: dated 11th July 1878 prepared and submitted with order to Bangalore Taluk Amld dated 11th July 1878.

The notice was only served on the 24th July 1878 upon the party in Column 15 and returned with Bangalore Taluk Amld's report No: 6552 dated 14th August 1878

Recd and Initd Robt Cole

14th August 1878 Supvr of Avenue Trees

Amld written to
order No: 2819/9/1878
Reminder No: 2317 & 23/6/79

In his Orgl No: 2758 of the 22nd June 1879, the Amildar reports that the trees wanting to complete the full complement of the avenue have not been planted, that there are 442 trees in healthy condition, and that no watchman has been employed to take care of the avenue.

P. T. O.

Column 20 Continued

that some of the trees might have been cut down for opening the Rail Road which lays through the centre of this Road.

A. Confirmed on the yearly of Rs 23/- at progressive rates as per column 9, Subject to a report from the Amildar. If the trees being planted within six months and to the avenue being maintained.

'Signed' Robt. Cole
31/7/76 Supdt of Lucan Sees

The Amildar of Bangalore Taluk reports in his Arjee No. 1534 dated 6th February 1878 that 12 trees have been cut down by the Govt. for Railway and that the party in Column 15 has not taken any steps to fill up the trees in places in which the former trees were ruined but some trees have been planted by ryots under the general circular orders in the places of omissions, and they are supported by them.

Orders

Owing to the recent Famine, a fresh period of grace is allowed upto 31st December 1878.

(17)

1230 Sir I file of Engr Corres
1898-99 - pondence

LR 80-95-15

The Steps of Imm
Settlement in Mysore

Office
23/12/98

In forwarding the Correspondence
ending with your
letter No 11 dt 3/8/98
regarding the Complaint
of Shadoopaling in, Imm
of Kopie Bridge Bangal
Talak, I am directed
to forward herewith the
entire Correspondence of
1864-65 relating to the
Grant of the Imm &
with an abstract thereof
and to state that it
seems clear from the

(18)

R7 199-99 is pasted up

93 1901-1902

AO
31-1-02

Sd/ 1st Connolly
4.202

LR 80-95-96

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDENT OF
INAM SETTLEMENTS IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

9984 02

To

Pet 291.

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated 29th
Despatched 30th } January 1902.
Received

No. 57 of 1901—902

Enclosure No. One file

In reply to his letter No. 1230 P.S.B 19-99 dated 23rd ultimo, I have the honor to state that if the Inam be disposed of under appendix G. of the Land Revenue Rules, the obligation of maintaining the Avenue trees would appear to be thrown on the Inamdar. No Quit Rent would be charged.

2. If the Inam be settled as a personal one, Quit Rent would be imposed under the Inam Rules and the property enfranchised.

3. The enclosures of the letter under reply are herewith returned.

Dy Supdt of Inams

Peti
31.1.02

under where order this was called for
final orders have been passed on Shadakshariyya's appeal
4/2/02
02
Prepared draft on the basis of grant for planting
Yes 5/2/1902
1/2
6/2

MGCPB—9-4-01—250.

correspondence as a whole
that the man was
granted under the
Comm's rules of 1846
(now Appx G of the L.R.
Rules of 1890) ~~and~~ and
that the reference to
the service of long ranges
as a reason for making
the grant appears to have
been that the grant
was once refused on
the ground that the application
had been made after
the lapse of many years.

I ~~In the view~~ I
am disposed to acquiesce
in the Comm's view above
taken.

J Hayes

Registration
Branch
9 3/12/97. per Seal

(20)

Before the Court of Mysore

LR 80-95-17

Present
V. Madhava Rao Esq C.I.E.
and
C. Srinivasiengar Esq

Petition No 168 of 1899-00

Appellant - Shadagopiengar
Retired Head 2nd Inspector
of police, Bangalore.

Subject

Appeal against the
resumption of Survey No
54 of Kogile village, Yela-
hanka hobli, Bangalore
Taluk by the Inam depty
in 1879.

Facts of the case.

In Septr 1865 the Govt
of Mysore (Mr Bowring)
granted under Govt
Notification 2 2237-46, to
Singiengar, late Amche
Bakshi and father of the
appt, 12 candies of dry
waste land on condition
for having planted 555
avenue trees between the 6th and
9th mile stones on the
Bangalore - Madras Road.
At the Inam settlement

Draft for appt.
Sd/- 5/1/02
Comptr
11/2/02
12/2/02
1569
Sd/- 12/2/02
Asst Comptr
12/2/02
Dept
12.2.1902
Approved.
C. Srinivasiengar
13/2/02
18/2
Supt.
14/2/02

96 Supt communicated the order of resumption to the Deputy Commr and requested that the arrears of the difference between the assessment and the Jodi on S. No 84 (measuring 22a-9, and assessed at Rs 23-8-0) might be collected. The applt thereupon claimed that the Inam was personal and not Dharmadaya and further represented that it was inequitable to collect the assessment of previous years, as he had had no notice of the resumption. The Dy Commr declined to interfere with the Inam Settlement and directed the recovery of the arrear by coercive process. The land was accordingly brought to sale and as there were no bidders in was bought on behalf of Govt for a nominal

price. Hence this appeal to Govt.

Decision

It is clear from the records relating to the grant of the Inam in 1865 that the grant was for the maintenance of 553 avenue trees on the Bangalore - Madras Road. The claim of the applt that the Inam is a personal one cannot therefore be allowed ~~rejected.~~

2. The Inam was resumed in 1879 on account of the failure of the grantee to plant the deficient number of trees. The Govt apprehend that the Inamdar's default in 1879 was due to the scarcity of labour and other abnormal ~~XXXXX~~ at the time owing to the prevalence of

in July 1876, the Inam Supdt
confirmed to Venkatarangaiya
brother of the applt, as
Dharmadaya Inam 8
Candies of dry land with
a Jodi of Bs 23 in the village
of Agaravanda, Bangalore
Taluk, and 4 Candies of
dry land with a Jodi of
Rs 12 in the village of Kagila
in the same taluk, subject
to the condition that 111 trees
being the number found
deficient should be planted
within 6 months. On the
default of the Inamdar
to comply with the above
condition, even after the
grant of an extension of
time, the Inam in Kagila
village was resumed by
the Inam Supdt in July
1879; but the resumption
was not communicated
at once to either the Dy
Collr or the Inamdar
In Feby 1896, the Survey

of famine in 1876-78, and are willing to grant the applt one more chance. The land (S. No 81) will be restored to the applt as a Dhanmadaya Inam on a Jodi of Rs 12 with the ~~Condition~~ Condition that the deficient number of trees (11) ~~shall be~~ shall be made good within two years from the date of the grant being made under the Rules published as Appendix G of the Land Rev. Rules of 1879 in force when the Rules prescribed in para 7 & 8 of the Rules of 1880 are applicable in this case. The excess, if any, in the Inam will be disposed of under the standing orders on the subject.

Copy to the Asst Collr Bangalore Dte together with the records received with his No D 1773 of 3-6-02 — do — Supdt of Census Settlements, with reference to correspondence ending with his district No 5772 of 29-1-02

Draft

Secretary

Pres copy put up 25/2 Sent 24/2/02

MLH

The grant being one made under the Rules published as Appendix G of the Land Rev. Rules of 1879 is free from the subject-matter of the Condition prescribed in para 7 & 8 of the Rules of 1880

No 1876-7 / R 819-98 dt 21-2-02

5 Inams 538

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

PRESENT:

V. P. MADHAVA RAO, ESQ., C.I.E.

AND

C. SREENIVASIENGAR, ESQ.

Petition No. 168 of 1899-1900.

Appellant—Shadagopiengar, retired Head-quarter Inspector of Police, Bangalore.

Subject.

Appeal against the resumption of Survey No. 84 of Kogile village, Yelahanka hobli, Bangalore Taluk, by the Inam Department in 1879.

Facts of the case.

In September 1865 the Commissioner of Mysore (Mr. Bowring) granted under Commissioner's notification, dated 22nd July 1846, to Singiengar, late Anche Bakshi and father of the appellant, 12 kandis of dry waste land on jodi tenure for having planted 553 avenue trees between the 6th and 9th mile stones on the Bangalore-Madras road. At the Inam Settlement in July 1876, the Inam Superintendent confirmed to Venkatarangiengar, brother of the appellant, as Dharmadaya Inam, 8 kandis of dry land with a jodi of Rs. 23 in the village of Dyavasandra, Bangalore Taluk, and 4 kandis of dry land with a jodi of Rs. 12 in the village of Kogile in the same taluk, subject to the condition that 111 trees being the number found deficient should be planted within six months. On the default of the inamdar to comply with the above condition, even after the grant of an extension of time, the inam in Kogile village was resumed by the Inam Superintendent in July 1879; but the resumption was not communicated at once to either the Deputy Commissioner or the inamdar. In February 1896, the Survey Superintendent communicated the order of resumption to the Deputy Commissioner and requested that the arrears of the difference between the assessment and the jodi on Survey No. 84 (measuring 22 acres and 5 guntas and assessed at Rs. 23-8-0) might be collected. The appellant thereupon claimed that the inam was personal and not Dharmadaya and further represented that it was inequitable to collect the assessment of previous years, as he had had no notice of the resumption. The Deputy Commissioner declined to interfere with the Inam Settlement and directed the recovery of the arrears by coercive process. The land was accordingly brought to sale and as there were no bidders was bought in on behalf of Government for a nominal price. Hence this appeal to Government.

Decision.

It is clear from the records relating to the grants of the inam in 1865 that the grant was for the maintenance of 553 avenue trees on the Bangalore-Madras road. The claim of the appellant that the inam is a personal one cannot therefore be allowed.

2. The inam was resumed in 1879 on account of the failure of the grantee to plant the deficient number of trees. The Government apprehend that the inamdar's default in 1879 was due to the scarcity of labor and other abnormal circumstances at the time owing to the prevalence of famine in 1876-78 and are willing to grant the appellant one more chance. The land (Survey No. 84) will be restored to the appellant as a Dharmadaya Inam, on a jodi of Rs. 12 with the condition that the deficient number of trees (111) is made good within the next six months. The grant

being one made under the Rules published as Appendix G of the Land Revenue Rules of 1899 is further subject to the conditions prescribed in paras 7 and 8 of the Rules. The excess, if any, in the inam will be disposed of under the Standing Orders on the subject.

No. 1606-7—P. F. 19-99, DATED BANGALORE, 22ND FEBRUARY 1902.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, together with the records received with his No. D. 1773, dated 8th June 1901.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Superintendent of Inam Settlements, with reference to correspondence ending with his docket No. 57, dated 29th January 1902.

H. V. NANJUNDAYYA,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

Exd.—J.T.B.

(28)

1901-1902

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

PETITION

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 23nd February 1902

No. 1606-7 / P. F. 19-99

Restoring to Shadagopiengar, retired Head-quarter Inspector of Police, Bangalore, Survey No. 84 as a Dharmadaya Inam in Kogile village, Yelahanka hobli, Bangalore Taluk, on the condition of his making good the deficient number of avenue trees (111) on the Bangalore Madras road, within the next six months.

S.B.P.—1-3-02—200.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

(19)

CA 80-95-18

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1865 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1877 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 17-2-[illegible] [illegible]

for Secretary